

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,696	07/03/2003	Raffael Sandolo	P-2377/CON	7214
7590 01/30/2006			EXAM	INER
Paul A. Fattibene			BECKER,	DREW E
Fattibene and Fa	attibene			
2480 Post Road			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Southport, CT 06890		1761		

DATE MAILED: 01/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applic	ation No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Action Summary		10/61	3,696	SANDOLO, RAFFAEL	
		Exami		Art Unit	
			E. Becker	1761	
	The MAILING DATE of this commu				
Period for I		••		•	
WHICH - Extension - Extension - If NO pe - Failure to Any repl	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F EVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M ons of time may be available under the provision (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this com- triod for reply is specified above, the maximum so o reply within the set or extended period for reply by received by the Office later than three months oatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DATE OF s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the	THIS COMMUNIO be event, however, may a re and will expire SIX (6) MON application to become AB	CATION.  eply be timely filed  THS from the mailing date of this communical  ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	٠.
Status	300 07 07 K 1.704(0).		•		
1)⊠ R	esponsive to communication(s) file	ed on 19 Decembe	r 2005.		
		2b)⊠ This action i			
3)□ Si	ince this application is in condition	for allowance exc	ept for formal matt	ers, prosecution as to the merits	s, is
	osed in accordance with the pract		•	•	
Nenocition	of Claima				
_	of Claims		· · · · · ·	whatmid	
-	laim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the	• •		مراد المراد ا مراد المراد ا	
	) Of the above claim(s) <u>7-14</u> is/ard	e withdrawn from c	onsideration.		
·	laim(s) is/are allowed.			·	
=	laim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.			in the second of	٠,
	laim(s) is/are objected to.				
0)∐ Ci	laim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or electio	n requirement.	Paul 1984 fra Mantal andra State	
Application	Papers 1 (2) 16(1) Fe 1 (16) 11 (16)		in think is an		
• •					
a)M Th	a enecification is objected to by th	na Evaminar			
	e specification is objected to by the drawing(s) filed on October 9. 2			pleated to by the Evaminer	
10)⊠ Th	e drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u>	<u>2003</u> is/are: a)  □ a	ccepted or b) 🛛 ot	jected to by the Examiner.	
10)⊠ Th Ap	e drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u> oplicant may not request that any obje	2003 is/are: a)☐ acection to the drawing(	ccepted or b)⊠ ot s) be held in abeyar	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	1/4\
10)⊠ Th Ap Re	e drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u> oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including	2003 is/are: a) ☐ accion to the drawing(g the correction is rec	ccepted or b)⊠ obs) be held in abeyar puired if the drawing	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)⊡ Th	te drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u> oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to	2003 is/are: a) ☐ accition to the drawing (g the correction is recorrection is recorrection is recorrection is recorrection is recorrection.	ccepted or b) i observed or b) observed if the drawing.  Note the attached	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)⊡ Th	te drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u> oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the control of	2003 is/are: a) ☐ accion to the drawing( g the correction is red o by the Examiner.	ccepted or b) i observed or b) observed in abeyar quired if the drawing Note the attached in the control of the	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152.	
10)⊠ Th Ap Re 11)⊡ Th Priority und	te drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u> oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the declaration is objected the state of the st	2003 is/are: a) ☐ acception to the drawing( g the correction is reconstruction is reconstruction in the examiner.	ccepted or b) observed or b) observe	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152	
10)⊠ Th Ap Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac	te drawing(s) filed on <u>October 9, 2</u> oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the control of	2003 is/are: a) ☐ acception to the drawing( g the correction is reconstruction is reconstruction in the examiner.	ccepted or b) observed or b) observe	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152	
10)⊠ Th Ap Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected the control of the c	2003 is/are: a) acception to the drawing (g the correction is reconstruction of by the Examiner.	ccepted or b) observed or b) observe	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152	
10)⊠ Th Ap Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1.	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the control of th	ection to the drawing( g the correction is red o by the Examiner.  for foreign priority documents have b	ccepted or b) observed or b) observe	pjected to by the Examiner. ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152.	
10)⊠ Th Ap Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1.	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the control of th	2003 is/are: a) acception to the drawing (g the correction is recombly the Examiner.  for foreign priority adocuments have by documents have by	ccepted or b) observed or b) observe	pjected to by the Examiner.  ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).	
10)⊠ Th Ap Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1.	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the control of th	ection to the drawing( g the correction is rec o by the Examiner.  for foreign priority documents have to documents have to of the priority documents	ccepted or b) observed or comments have been	pjected to by the Examiner.  ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1. 2. 3.	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the control of the control of the control of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies of the certified copies	2003 is/are: a) acception to the drawing (go the correction is recompleted by the Examiner.  for foreign priority and documents have be of the priority document (PCT) for the priority docume	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner.  ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152  119(a)-(d) or (f).  pplication No  received in this National Stage	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1. 2. 3.	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object oplicant may not request that any object oplication is objected to the coath or declaration is objected to the coath of	2003 is/are: a) acception to the drawing (go the correction is recompleted by the Examiner.  for foreign priority and documents have be of the priority document (PCT) for the priority docume	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner.  ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152  119(a)-(d) or (f).  pplication No  received in this National Stage	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1. 2. 3.	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object oplicant may not request that any object oplication is objected to the coath or declaration is objected to the coath of	ection to the drawing of the correction is rection to the Examiner.  for foreign priority of documents have be of the priority document documents have be of the priority document of the correction for a list of the correction.	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner.  ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152  119(a)-(d) or (f).  pplication No  received in this National Stage	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1. 2. 3. * See	te drawing(s) filed on October 9, 2 oplicant may not request that any object eplacement drawing sheet(s) including the oath or declaration is objected to the state of the control of the control of the priority of the priority of the certified copies of the priority of the certified copies application from the Internation of the attached detailed Office actions.	ection to the drawing of the correction is rection to the Examiner.  for foreign priority of documents have be of the priority document documents have be of the priority document of the correction for a list of the correction.	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner.  ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  (s) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152  119(a)-(d) or (f).  pplication No  received in this National Stage	
10)⊠ Th Ar Re 11)□ Th Priority und 12)□ Ac a)□ 1. 2. 3. * See	pelicant may not request that any object of the priority of the priority of the priority of the attached detailed Office action from the Internation of the priority of the attached detailed Office actions of the priority of the attached detailed Office actions of the attached detailed	ection to the drawing of the correction is rection to the Examiner.  for foreign priority of documents have be of the priority document documents have be of the priority document of the correction for a list of the correction.	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner. Ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Is is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152. I 119(a)-(d) or (f). I opplication No received in this National Stage received.	
10) The Area of th	pelicant may not request that any object oplicant may not request that any object oplicant may not request that any object oplication is objected to the coath or declaration is objected to the coath of the coath of the priority of the coath of the priority of the coath of the coath of the coath of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the coath of the attached detailed of the coath of the attached detailed of the coath of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the coath of the attached detailed of the coath of the attached detailed of the attached detailed of the coath of the attached detailed of the attac	ection to the drawing of the correction is rection to the Examiner.  If or foreign priority of documents have be documents have be of the priority document documents documents have be of the priority document of the correction for a list of the correction.	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner.  Ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Is) is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12  I Office Action or form PTO-152.  119(a)-(d) or (f).  I opplication No.  I received in this National Stage  I received.	
10) The Area Ref 11) The Priority und 12) Ac a) 1. 2. 3. * See Attachment(s)	pelicant may not request that any object of the priority of the priority of the priority of the attached detailed Office action from the Internation of the priority of the attached detailed Office actions of the priority of the attached detailed Office actions of the attached detailed	ection to the drawing of the correction is reconstruction is reconstruction in the composition of the priority documents have been for a list of the composition of t	ccepted or b) observed or control of the drawing of	pjected to by the Examiner. Ice. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Is is objected to: See 37 CFR 1.12 I Office Action or form PTO-152. I 119(a)-(d) or (f). I opplication No received in this National Stage received.	

Art Unit: 1761

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 1-6 in the reply filed on December 19, 2005 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that it would not create a serious burden, or undue searching, for the examiner. This is not found persuasive because group I is classified in class 99, while group II is classified in class 426.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 2. Claims 7-14 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR.
- 1.142(b); as being drawn to a nonelected group, there being no allowable generic organization.

## **Priority**

- 3. A specific reference to the prior-filed application in compliance with 37 CFR 1.78(a) must be included in the first sentence(s) of the specification following the title or in an application data sheet. The status of the parent application should be included, ie abandoned.
  - The requirement is sail documen Drawings and determine FINAL.

and Group and Generalized in Control of the Control

4. The drawings are objected to because they are unreadable in places and the lines are not uniform. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The

Complication costs section of the words

figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filling date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

# The wing ligare in to be ca Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112 by a moved from the

5. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

- 6. Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being considering of two permissions from more and an inherital subject matter which indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 7. Claim 1 recites the limitation "the roasted coffee beans" in line 24. There is a constant that a patient with personal content of the lamb and the content of the claim.

  The objection is the content of the claim.
- 8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said first phase cooling gas" in line 28. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

The fallowing long of the reserve and group man phase 35 U.S.C. 112:

The openificating shall conclude with our minimes, and hundring pointing on and distinctly

Application/Control Number: 10/613,696 Page 4

Art Unit: 1761

9. Claim 1 recites the limitation "said second phase cooling gas" in line 34. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

- 10. Claim 2 recites the limitation "the roasted coffee beans" in line 27. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 11. Claim 2 recites a "second phase cooling means". However, there is no mention of a "first phase cooling means" in the claim. It is not clear whether this element is missing from the claim.
- 12. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the coffee beans" in line 19. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 13. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the coffee cooling medium" in line 39. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 14. Claim 3 recites "said recirculated cooling medium" as well as "said cooling medium" in lines 40-43. It is not clear whether these terms refer to the same "medium", or not
- 15. Claim 3 recites the limitation "the secondary cooling medium" in line 53. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 16. Claim 4 recites the limitation "said cooled coffee beans" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 17. Claim 5 recites the limitation "the cooled coffee beans" in line 3. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 18. Claim 5 recites the limitation "said aspirated cooled coffee beans". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

entigen of the second of the s

The transfer of the state of the second of t

Application/Control Number: 10/613,696 Page 5

**Art Unit: 1761** 

19. Claim 5 recites "said aspirated cooled coffee beans" as well as "said cooled coffee beans" in lines 7-8. It is not clear whether these terms refer to the same "beans", or not.

- 20. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said roasted coffee". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- 21. Claim 6 recites the limitation "said second cooling phase". There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

### . buingtion/Control NumbeClaim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

22. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kaatze et al [Pat. No. 4,642,906] in view of Howson [Pat. No. 1,843,131], DE Claim of Howson in the Community of the Co

Kaatze et al teach a coffee roasting device comprising a combustion chamber with a burner, housing, gas inlet, and gas outlet (Figure 1, #40), a roasting oven (Figure 1, #12), ducts and conduits which recirculate the gas and connect the various components (Figure 1, #48, 48', 42, 32), a chaff separator (Figure 1, #50), a suction fan with and inlet and outlet (Figure 1, #56), a cooling chamber (Figure 1, #20), a gas vent to the atmosphere (Figure 1, #38), means for drawing gas through the cooling chamber and

ertaldistationale saless more est pagniment

et e e sa cheanna, ga gar.

प्रकारिक (0) (च rejection of a second of a second) us being unpetentable over

1.031 .

Art Unit: 1761

venting it to the atmosphere (Figure 1, #36, 38), a modulating damper (Figure 1, #94), and a discharge hopper (Figure 1, #70 & 74). Kaatze et al do not recite baffles (claims 1-3), the roasting oven being a perforated drum (claims 1-2), means for recirculating the cooling gas to the combustion chamber (claims 1-3), the suction fan being selectively in communication with both the cooling chamber and roasting oven (claim 2), means for de-stoning (claims 4-6), means for aspirating (claim 5), and the separator being downstream from the suction fan (claims 2-3). Howson teaches a roasting device comprising perforated drum (Figure 2, #21), a suction fan selectively in communication with both the cooling chamber and roasting oven (Figure 1, #1-2; Figures 2-3, #56-57, 78, 80), the separator being downstream from the suction fan (page 3, lines 51-54), and de-stoning and aspirating means (Figure 1, #3). Rentzel et al teach a combustion chamber comprising baffles (Figure 1, #32). DE 3711098A1 teaches a roasting device comprising means for recirculating the cooling gas to the combustion chamber (Figure 1, # 17, 22-23). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the baffles of Rentzel et al into the invention of Kaatze et al since both are directed to air cleaning systems, since Kaatze et al already included a combustion chamber (Figure 1, #40) but simply did not describe it in detail, and since Rentzel et al teach that the baffles provided good combustion with a compact construction and made the best possible use of the geometric dwell time (abstract). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the perforated drum of Howson into the invention of Kaatze et al, in view of Rentzel et al, since both are directed to coffee roasting systems, since Kaatze et al already included a roasting oven with a rotating captising means for recirculating the partial properties the combustion chamber (Figure

or phone in Loie Have been and

Application/Control Number: 10/613,696 Page 7

Art Unit: 1761

element (Figure 1, #16), since perforated drums were commonly used as roasting vessels, and since the rotating drum of Howson would be less likely to "miss" beans and leave them in a stationary position where they could be burned. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the suction fan configuration of Howson into the invention of Kaatze et al, in view of Rentzel et al, since both are directed to coffee roasting systems, since Kaatze et al already included suction fans, a separator, a cleanser, and a cooling gas circuit (Figure 1, #34, 36, 38, 50, 56, 102), and since placing the separator after a single suction fan, as taught by Howson, would have further ensured the cleanness of the gas before it was vented or reused, as well as as the cleanness of the gas before it was vented or reused, as well as a second or reused. replacing the multiple conduits and fans of Kaatze et al with a simpler and less complicated arrangement of a single suction fan with a single separator. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the aspirator and destoner of Howson into the invention of Kaatze et al, in view of Rentzel et al, since both are directed to coffee roasting systems, since Kaatze et al already included a bean tion of separator (Figure 1, #74 & 76), and since the aspirator/destoner of Howson was an effective means of sepearting and removing debris from roasted and cooled beans (Figure 1, #3). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to incorporate the circulation means of DE 3711098A1 into the invention of Kaatze et al, in view of Rentzel et al and Howson, since both are directed to coffee roasting devices, since Kaatze et al already included a cooling chamber and combustion chamber (Figure 1, #20 & 40), as well as air circulation means (Figure 1, #32, 34, 38), and since recycling the cooling air to the combustion chamber of Kaatze et al would have reduced

musics (Figure 1, 774 of 70 n.c. mounts of the modesic her of Howelen was an

Application/Control Number: 10/613,696

Art Unit: 1761

the amount of pollutants and chaff being vented to the surrounding atmosphere in addition to saving energy as taught by DE 3711098A1 (page 4, line 30).

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Drew E. Becker whose telephone number is 571-272-1396. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri. 8am to 4:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Milton Cano can be reached on 571-272-1398. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for "published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DREW BECKER
PRIMARY EXAMINER

-- Information regarding the execution of an egyptoticaryou, be obtained from the

ាក់ កែកការ ភាពការ នេះ មានការជំនាន់ <del>កើតការការ ភាពនេះ ការការ សេស សេសការ សេស</del>ការិធន ដោយមានមានមែលបំណ

Lingui Martin Lingue This Epper March 1 and the Songard is or 1-27

The more information about the system of the

्र २०११ अस्त समाप्रकारण - प्रकार राज्यन्त्री भागान्त राज्य प्रकार कार नान्यन्त्रात्, स्वामनस्य नेपन <del>सान्यसंस्</del>राधाः